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Introduction
iMAT is a new antenna technology that improves antenna gain and receiver sensitivity, significantly enhancing device performance and network capacity in MIMO or related communications systems using multiple antennas. Although it is counterintuitive to think that a single antenna can offer the performance benefits of multiple antennas, the iMAT solution enables a single antenna structure to behave like multiple antennas through the use of multiple feed points. Each feed provides high isolation, low correlation, and high per-feed radiation efficiency without the need to design and place multiple antennas in a small space.

To obtain the benefits of MIMO or diversity communications systems, antennas typically must be properly configured to take advantage of the independent signal paths that can exist in the communications channel environment. [1] With proper design, one antenna’s radiation is prevented from traveling into the neighboring antenna and being absorbed by the opposite load circuitry. Typically, a combination of antenna separation and polarization is used to achieve the required signal isolation and independence. However, when the area inside devices is extremely limited, this approach often is not effective in meeting industrial design and performance criteria. As a result, the industry has settled for single antenna solutions or diversity configurations with poorly performing ancillary antennas.

So how did SkyCross achieve the seemingly impossible task of designing a single antenna with multiple feeds? Skycross realized that different modal excitation of a single radiating structure is possible while maintaining isolation between multiple feeds located on the same structure. Therefore, isolation between the feeds is possible. Also, the antenna pattern produced by each feed can be sufficiently different so signals transmitted or received between the different feed points are essentially independent—a requirement for higher gain diversity systems and high-throughput MIMO communications.

MIMO Metrics and Correlation Coefficient
For a MIMO communications system to fully exploit independence of signal channels, the transmitter and receiver must utilize multiple antennas with prescribed metrics. Metrics for MIMO communications systems have been developed, and many sources exist in the scientific literature. One metric commonly used to measure the potential for an antenna system to produce independent received signals is the antenna pattern correlation coefficient, which is defined by the following equation [2]:

\[ r_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} A_{im}^{*} A_{jn}}{\sqrt{\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} |A_{im}|^2 \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} |A_{jn}|^2} \]
This equation is specific to a two-antenna system and produces a coefficient whose magnitude is normalized to unity based on electric field components measured in a 3-D antenna range. If the antennas produce completely orthogonal patterns, the coefficient magnitude is zero. Conversely, two antennas with the same field component pattern produce a coefficient magnitude equal to one, as shown in Figure 1a. Because MIMO communications systems rely on separate spatio-temporal channels to achieve greater information transfer capacity, it is desirable to establish separate spatial directional response for each antenna to obtain the necessary channel independence. This creates a preference for sufficient pattern independence to produce a correlation coefficient magnitude below a certain threshold. The communications channel itself is also involved in achieving separate or independent spatio-temporal paths, and modifications to Eq 1 for those effects can be included for completeness. [3, 4]

**Antenna Coupling or Isolation**

The importance of isolation or reduced coupling between multiple feed antennas can’t be over emphasized, since energy transmitted from one antenna can be absorbed by neighboring antenna(s). The situation is as shown in Figure 1b.
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Figure 1. Antennas on the left have coupled near-radiation fields and highly correlated inputs, coupling energy from one into the other. The ideal situation is shown for the antennas at right where little radiation is coupled between antennas, and the correlation coefficient is zero.
Since iMAT provides sufficiently large isolation between feedpoints, the effect of unwanted coupling between antennas becomes negligible. This advantage can improve the radiation efficiency and therefore Total Radiated Power (TRP) by several dB (a factor of 1.5 or more) compared to similar non-iMAT solutions.

Coupling and its effect on antenna efficiency, and ultimately on TRP is illustrated pictorially in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. Illustration of the advantages of the iMAT single antenna over multiple individual antennas showing enhanced efficiency and reduced interelement coupling](image)

Currently, iMAT supports legacy networks and is essential for next generation protocols that require diversity or MIMO such as HSxPA, WiMAX, 802.11x, and LTE. iMAT creates a new way to think about creating a diversity or MIMO system. Ultimately, by using the advantages derived from better performing MIMO systems, end users will be able to realize the full potential of their wireless services and demand faster connections at an increasing rate.

**iMAT WiFi Technology Example**

To illustrate the above effects and the benefit of the iMAT approach, refer to Figure 3 showing an example of a USB antenna application for the 2.4-2.5 GHz band. Shown is a typical USB device consisting of a printed circuit board (PCB) assembly, enclosed in a plastic housing, with USB connector at one end. The space available for the antennas is assumed to be at the opposite end of the PCB. The width of the device is 20 mm and the length and height available for the antennas are 10 mm and 2.5 mm, respectively. The majority of the PCB, between the antennas and the USB connector, is a continuous RF ground.

Three different antenna solutions are shown for comparison. The first consists of two meanderline monopoles in the same form factor as illustrated in Figure 3. The distance from the ground available for the radiator is 10 mm, or \(\lambda/12\) in free-space; however, the
monopoles are made an effective \( \lambda/4 \) length through the use of a meanderline, slow-wave structure. The second case also uses the same meanderline monopoles, but with an added metal shielding strip extending from the PCB ground and between the two monopoles. The third case considers the iMAT solution that includes a similar meanderline loading method for space efficiency, but one that maintains a half-wave equivalent electrical length as a single resonator. The iMAT solution in this case uses a half-wave mode of a specially-shaped element where the location of each feed port is carefully configured to provide the desired isolation.

A comparison of measured performance for the three solutions is shown in Figure 4. All three approaches yield good impedance match with a VSWR of 2 or better over the band (Figure 4a). However, the coupling, \( S_{21} \) for the monopoles is poor—about -4dB as shown in Figure 4b. The addition of the ground extension between the antennas provides marginal improvement of \( S_{21} \) to about -6dB. The iMAT solution has considerably better isolation, with \( S_{21} \) values between -10 and -15 dB in the designated band.

The \( S_{21} \) value has a direct impact on efficiency due to signal loss to the neighboring antenna and its associated load. Not surprisingly, the iMAT solution shows better efficiency than the other solutions as shown in Figure 4c. Similarly, the iMAT solution produces more diverse antenna patterns as indicated by the graph of antenna-pattern-derived, envelope correlation coefficients, calculated using the following equation and shown in Figure 4d.
Figure 4. Comparison of (a) VSWR, (b) $S_{21}$, (c) Radiation Efficiency, and (d) Envelope Correlation Coefficient for 3 cases: two monopoles, two monopoles with ground plane isolation tab, and iMAT antenna showing advantages from the isolated mode methodology.

Although radiation efficiency is improved, an added benefit is the reduced correlation coefficient achievable with iMAT versus close proximity multiple antenna solutions. Correlation coefficients of less than 0.5-0.7 are desirable for MIMO and diversity communications systems. Although achieving these correlation coefficient values is possible with multiple antennas on a given platform, the iMAT solution is ready-made, requiring little additional engineering design. In addition, for those antenna systems not able to achieve low correlation values, the benefits of iMAT include improved system capacity and data rates.
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